

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/031,787	05/20/2002	· Claudia Wiegand	MERCK 2341	5718
23599 7:	590 03/21/2005		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			OLSEN, ALLAN W	
2200 CLAREN SUITE 1400	IDON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/031,787	WIEGAND ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Allan Olsen	1763		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		A 4 11 - 14				
Before the Fining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allan Olsen	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress			
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4 e reply must be filed within one of t	vhich places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in est for Continued			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr nally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a			
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appewas filed on 03 March 2005. A brief in compliance with 3 Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	7 CFR 41.37 must be filed within tw reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dis	o months of the date smissal of the appeal	of filing the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: see attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4			Α.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling t</li> </ul>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ant canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:			•			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,5,7 and 14-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8-13</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attachment	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		nce because:			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
Mac	Voe-	Allan Olsen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763				

Application/Control Number: 10/031,787

Art Unit: 1763

#### **ADVISORY ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

The attached form, PTOL-303, indicates that the amendment to the claims will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration. The amendment cancelled independent claim 1 and changed the dependency of claims 4, 5, 7 and 14 so that they would be dependent upon claim 17. Claim 17 is directed to an etching solution "consisting essentially of" the various components as recited. Claims 4, 5 and 7 recite "An etching solution according to claim 17, comprising..." The introduction of open claim language of claims 4 5 and 7 into claim 17 which is recited with closed claim language presents an issue requiring further consideration. It is also noted that the amendment indicated that claims 8-13 were withdrawn from consideration. However, claims 13-18 are dependent upon claim 1 which was cancelled by the amendment.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Olsen whose telephone number is 571-272-1441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 1-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571-272-1435. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allan Olsen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1763